

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Seventh Floor, Kamat Towers, Patto, Panaji – Goa.

Appeal No. 127/SIC/2013

Dr. Kalpana V. Kamat ,
Caldeira Arcade, 1st floor,
Bhute Bhat, Mestawado,
Vasco –Da-Gama, Goa.

.....Appellant

V/s

1. The Public Information Officer (PIO),
O/o the Inspector of Survey & Land Records,
City Survey, Vasco-Da-Gama, Goa.
 2. First Appellate Authority,
Director of Settlement and Land Records,
Panaji Goa.
 3. Chief Officer/ Public Information Officer,
O/o. Municipal Council Mormugao,
Vasco-Da-Gama, Goa
 4. Civil Registrar cum Sub-Registrar/ Public Information Officer,
O/o. Civil Registrar cum Sub-Registrar Mormugao,
Vasco-Da-Gama
-Respondents

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on : 20/09/2013
Decided on: 03/01/2018

ORDER

1. The brief facts leading to present appeal are as under:-
2. That the appellant Dr. Kalpana V. Kamat by her application dated 13/6/2013 sought certain information from the PIO of the office of land and Survey Records, Vasco-Da-Gama, Goa. The said information was sought in exercise of her right u/s 6(1) of The Right to Information Act, 2005.

3. The application of the appellant was responded by the respondent PIO on 10/7/2013.
4. Being not satisfied with the said response of Respondent PIO, as such she preferred first appeal u/s 19(1) of Right to Information Act, 2005 before the Directorate of Settlement and Land Records on 16/7/2013, being first appellate authority .
5. It is the contention of the appellant that the first appellate authority did not disposed the first appeal as such being aggrieved by the action of both the Respondents the appellant has approached this Commission on 20/9/2013 by way of 2nd Appeal filed u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.
6. Notices were issued to the parties. In pursuant to the notice of this Commission, appellant was present in person. Respondent No. 1 PIO Smt. Anisha Matondkar was present alongwith Shri Sidney Xavier. Respondent NO. 2 First appellate authority represented by Paresh Naik . Respondent No. 4 Shri Tushant Kuenkalkar was present.
7. In the course of the present proceedings, the appellant showed her desire to inspect the documents pertaining to the said information and to identified the documents which are required by her to which the Respondent No. 1 PIO agreed to give inspection of the relevant records to the appellant.
8. Accordingly on subsequent date of hearing the Respondent PIO furnished the said information to the appellant alongwith enclosures. On going through the said information and the documents, the appellant submitted that she had received the information to her satisfaction and that she is not pressing for Penal Provisions. Accordingly she endorsed her say on the reverse of RTI application.

9. If the correct and timely information provided to appellant it would have saved valuable time and hardship caused to her in pursuing the said appeal before the different authorities. It is quite obvious that appellant has suffered lots of harassment and mental torture and agony in seeking information under the RTI Act. If PIO had given prompt and correct information such harassment and detriment could have been avoided.

10. Since the information is now furnished to the appellant as per her requirement and since the appellant has graciously waived a prayer of penal action, I find no reasons to proceed with the matter.

Appeal disposed accordingly. Proceedings stands closed
Notify the parties.

Pronounced in the open court.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/-
(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner,
Goa State Information Commission
Panaji-Goa